Experimental Options Review FY 07- FY11

AMWG Meeting
March 8, 2006
John Hamill, Chief
GCMRC

Background and Purpose

- Background: AMP has been evaluating MLFF for 10 years
- Purpose: Identify a suite of flow and non flow actions that will be implemented or tested in FY 07-11
 - Provides foundation for 5 Year Monitoring and Research Plan and FY 07-08 AWP
- General Goals of Options:
 - Improve resource conditions
 - Enhance understanding (learning) of relationship between proposed action and target resource
- Developed cooperatively w/ SPG

Experimental Options

- Continue evaluating MLFF in spring/summer/ fall, w/ winter ramping experiments + BHBF in winter/spring
- 2. Continue evaluating MLFF in spring and summer, w/ winter ramping experiments + BHBF in winter/spring + stable flows in Sept & October
- 3. Increased fluctuating flows in summer and winter + BHBF in fall + implementation of a suite of management actions
- 4. Seasonally adjusted steady flows throughout the year + spring BHBF

Experimental Option #1

Continue evaluating MLFF in spring/summer/ fall, w/ winter ramping experiments + BHBF in winter/spring

- Scientifically the least confounded approach
 - Builds on previous learning tied to baseline operations
 - Provides continuity with Phase IV (2003-2006) activities
 - Understand the effect of natural warming and trout removal
- Includes actions to benefit resources
 - HBC (translocation, refuge, TCD, exotic fish management)
 - Sediment conservation (winter/spring BHBF)
- Follows Secretary's mandate to evaluate ROD
- Benefits for hydropower resources
 - Mini-experiment studies of alternative ramping rate/range

Experimental Option #2

Continue evaluating MLFF in spring and summer, w/ winter ramping experiments + BHBF in winter/spring + stable flows in Sept & October

- Evaluates the effects of steady late summer-fall flows on humpback chub growth/ recruitment
- Impacts hydro power generation, but at times of low demand
- Includes actions to benefit resources
 - HBC (translocation, refuge, TCD, exotic fish management)
 - Sediment conservation (winter/spring BHBF)
- Builds on previous learning tied to baseline operations
- Follows Secretary's mandate to evaluate ROD

Experimental Option #3 WAPA/AGFD/FFF

Increased fluctuating flows in summer and winter + BHBF in fall + implementation of a suite of management actions

- Emphasizes achieving resource improvements using a suite of flow and non-flow actions
 - HBC (e.g., exotic fish control, augmentation, translocation, TCD)
 - Sediment conservation (fall BHBF)
- Increased fluctuating flows in winter and summer targeted at:
 - Hydropower generation during periods of high demand
 - Aquatic food base production and delivery (RBT an HBC)
 - Rainbow trout fishery below GCD
- Additional knowledge gained through small, short-term experiments (stable flows, ponding, etc)
- Lack of structured experimental design confounds learning (understanding cause/effect relationships)

Experimental Option #4 (GCT)

Seasonally adjusted steady flows throughout the year + spring BHBF

- Tests a combination of stable/fluctuating flows and variable water temperatures (4 year test blocks) in conjunction with non-native fish control
- Aimed at providing resource benefits and addressing research questions related to Goals 1 (food base), 2 (humpback chub), 7 (water temperature, quality and flow dynamics), 8 (sediment), 9 (recreation), and 11 (cultural resources)
- Provides information to assist in design and operation of a TCD
- Consistent with the GCPA, park values, biological opinion, AMWG priorities, and the best available science
- Significant impact to power generation (stable flow years)
- Factorial design facilitates understanding of cause/effect relationships

Status and Next Steps

- GCMRC Experimental Options Report
 - Describes/compares options and assesses resource impacts (+/-) (based on Knowledge Assessment)
- SPG Review/discussion (ongoing)
- TWG Review (April)
- TWG Approval (June)
- AMWG Approval (August)